UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/798,056 | 03/11/2004 | Junzo Tokunaka | 450100-04964 | 4967 | |
| William S. Fror | 7590 01/03/201 nmer. Esa. | EXAMINER | | | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 | | | TAKELE, MESEKER | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2175 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/03/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/798,056 | TOKUNAKA, JUNZO | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | WESERER TARELE | 2175 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12/02/2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALI | _OWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | · | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH f). | ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraction date of the standard standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee se action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | | | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | e appeai. Since a | | | | |
| 3. 🛛 Th <u>e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</u> | | | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | , | TE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betoe | • | duoina or oimplifyina t | na inguas for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | ie issues ioi | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmen | nt canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | 5 | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | NOT I II II II II | 1912 C 11 | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /William L. Bashore/ | | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues being, starting a pickup control for controlling a laser generator to search as recited in independent claim one.